

KANSAS HISTORIC SITES BOARD OF REVIEW

June 26, 1980

The board convened at 2:30 p.m. in the conference room of the Memorial Building. Members present were Nancy Trauer, Vice-Chairman, who presided in the absence of the Chairman, A. Bower Sageser, Carlyle S. Smith, Joseph Snell, and Richard Koerth, the designee of James Bibb. Historic Preservation Department staff present were Richard Pankratz, Terry Marmet, Martin Stein, Julie Wortman, and Mauddenna Woods.

Professor A. Bower Sageser moved that the minutes of the December 6, 1979, meeting be approved; Joseph Snell seconded the motion, which carried.

Richard Pankratz then gave a background explanation of the purposes and procedures of the public hearing scheduled for 3:00 p.m.

The board adjourned at 2:45 p.m. to attend the public hearing.

Thurs.

AGENDA

Kansas Historic Sites Board of Review

June 26, 1980

2:30 P.M.

1. Approval of the minutes of the previous meeting
2. Discussion of procedures for public hearing and distribution of information
3. Adjournment for participation in public hearing

PUBLIC HEARING

Historic Preservation Grant Applications for Federal FY 1981

Memorial Building, Topeka, Kansas

June 26, 1980 3:00 p.m.

Procedures:

1. Anyone wishing to offer comments on a project should indicate that on the sign-up sheet.
2. Opportunity to comment on projects will be given in the order listed below.
3. Only written comments will become part of the permanent files of the hearing.
4. Written comments should be given to the State Historic Preservation Officer's designated staff person after the comments have been orally presented.
5. Comments should be made from the podium, which is equipped with a microphone.
6. The length of time allowed for comments on any one project or allowed any one individual will be limited by the State Historic Preservation Officer if that becomes necessary.

Applications received for survey and planning assistance:

Cawker City Hesperian Museum, Cawker City, engineering analysis of Old Cawker City Hesperian Library, \$277

Cowley County Community College, Arkansas City, preparation of plans and specifications for renovation of the Old Arkansas City High School, \$16,312

Kansas State Historical Society, Archeology Department, archeological survey in seven west central Kansas counties, \$5,928.00

Mo-Kan Regional Council, continuation of historic resources survey in Doniphan and Atchison counties and the town of Horton, \$10,500

City of Salina, historic resources survey and preparation of a historic preservation plan, \$6,000.00

University of Kansas, Museum of Anthropology, Phase II of the archeological survey of Stranger Creek, \$28,375.00

Wichita State University, continuation of city archeologist program, \$4,000.00

Applications received for development assistance:

American Heritage, Inc., Ward-Meade House, Topeka, \$9,601.00

Argonia and West Sumner County Historical Society, Susanna Salter House, \$10,350.00

Cawker City Historical Society, Old Cawker City Library, Cawker City, \$692.00

Cowley County Community College, Old Arkansas City High School, Arkansas City, \$ 189,613 & 16,312.00

Dodge City Area Arts Council, Old Dodge City Library, Dodge City, \$36,369.00

Douglas County Historical Society, Watkins National Bank, Lawrence, \$13,207

Mitchell County Commission, Mitchell County Courthouse, Beloit, \$11,050.00

Mount St. Scholastica Convent, Price Villa, Atchison, \$51,932.00

Nicodemus Township, Old First Baptist Church, Nicodemus, \$7,184

City of Olathe, Mahaffie House, Olathe, \$25,000.00

City of Parsons, Old Carnegie Library, Parsons, \$75,940

St. John the Baptist Church, Beloit, \$24,935

University of Kansas, Spooner Hall, Lawrence, \$79,500

Applications originally approved for federal FY 1980 which may not be funded because of federal cuts in the preservation appropriation:

Butterfield Trail Association, Old Logan County Courthouse, Russell Springs,
\$5,000.00

Dodge City Area Arts Council, Old Dodge City Library, Dodge City, \$93,000.00

Douglas County Historical Society, Old Watkins Bank, Lawrence, \$19,292.00

Lecompton Historical Society, Lane University, Lecompton, \$14,792.00

Revised 6-17-80Name of property Carnegie Library Address ParsonsApplicant City of ParsonsAmount of federal funds requested 75,940 Total project cost 151,890Source of applicant's match Community Development Block Grant funds on hand

Work included in application:

- | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Electrical | 5. Concrete steps/walks |
| 2. Repair/replace entrance doors (W + S) | 6. Repair/paint walls and ceilings |
| 3. South entrance stairway | 7. Repair HVAC |
| 4. Repair/replace floor tile | 8. Handicapped access study |
| Staff comments on proposed work: | 9. Insulation |
| | 10. Restroom rehab. for handicapped |

Work to bring building up to code and to make interior usable

Condition of building:

Phase I will take care of water and structural problems.

No. of federal objectives the proposed project will meet 4 of 10

General observations on the application:

SURVEY PROJECT INFORMATION SHEET

Name of Survey Mo-Kan Historic Resources Survey Phase II

Applicant: Mo-Kan Regional Council

Survey Boundaries: Atchison and Doniphan Counties, City of Horton

Amount of federal funds requested \$10,500 Total project cost

Source of applicant's match Mo-Kan Budget

- Survey Objectives:
1. Complete Phase I survey activities as necessary
 2. assist surveyed communities to prepare nominations
 - *3. assist Old Atchison Homeowners Association with a planning study re: historic preservation goals for Atchison.

Staff comments on survey objectives: The Old Atchison Homeowners Association had intended to apply for a grant to assist them with the second phase of the survey activities they have initiated this past spring (they have an HPD Mini-grant for this). The timing was not good, so HPD called a meeting of the OAHA and Mo-Kan to discuss coordination. OAHA will finish its survey activities on its own during this coming year. Mo-Kan will then take the survey results and use them as a basis for preparing an historic preservation planning document. This coordinated project is now the priority activity for Mo-Kan under this grant application.

General observations on the application:

The enthusiasm of the OAHA and Mo-Kan is very great for this project. The goal of the effort is to generate a good foundation for establishing a formal mechanism in Atchison for dealing with historic preservation concerns and objectives.

SURVEY PROJECT INFORMATION SHEET

Name of Survey Survey & Planning Activities Related to Salina's Heritage Conservation Plan.

Applicant: City of Salina

Survey Boundaries: City of Salina

Amount of federal funds requested \$6000 Total project cost \$12,000

Source of applicant's match In-kind (\$2000) and CDBG (\$4000)*

- Survey Objectives:
1. To create an inventory of potentially historic properties in Salina.
 2. To prepare criteria for historic property designation and for determining preservation priorities.
 3. To identify properties needing rehabilitation.
 4. Public education through publication of survey results.

- Staff comments on survey objectives:
1. The budget seems too small for the project.
 2. Finding the right consultant will be difficult.
 3. Objectives place no stress on public involvement.

General observations on the application: The city has in the last year passed an historic preservation ordinance. A Heritage Commission has been appointed. The city planning staff is aware of the ambitiousness of the grant proposal, and is willing to plan the project so as to assure achievement of priorities. The city appears willing and eager to work closely with HPD.

* The planning director states that due to internal situations, if no grant is received from HPD for the project, it will be hard to use CDBG funds for it. Should the grant from HPD come through, however, more CDGB funds than already allotted as match might also be leveraged.

Project Information Sheet

Name of property Old Arkansas City High School Address Arkansas City

Applicant Cowley County Community College

Amount of federal funds requested \$16,312 Total project cost \$32,624

Source of applicant's match capital outlay funds

Work included in application:

Preparation of plans and specifications for renovation of interior for use as Technical Services building.

Staff comments on proposed work:

Condition of building:

No. of federal objectives the proposed project will meet _____

General observations on the application:

Project Information Sheet

Name of property Old Cawker City Hesperian Library Address Cawker City

Applicant Cawker City Hesperian Museum

Amount of federal funds requested \$ 227 Total project cost \$554

Source of applicant's match cash on hand

Work included in application:

Engineering structural analysis of building to determine causes of settling and movement

Staff comments on proposed work:

Necessary to determine problems, or rather causes of problems

Condition of building:

No. of federal objectives the proposed project will meet _____

General observations on the application:

SURVEY PROJECT INFORMATION SHEET

Name of Survey Wichita Metropolitan Area Survey

Applicant: Department of Anthropology, Wichita State University

Survey Boundaries: A 25 mile radius of the city of Wichita

Amount of federal funds requested \$4000.00 Total project cost \$8205.00

Source of applicant's match In kind- student assistantship

- Survey Objectives:
1. Provide archeological survey service for municipalities in the survey area.
 2. Record archeological sites and nominate selected sites to the state or national registers or as local historic landmarks.
 3. Provide cultural resource information to officials and local planning bodies.

Staff comments on survey objectives: By meeting these objectives the City Archeologist can provide assistance to the State Historic Preservation Officer when his duty is to comment on federally financed, licensed or assisted projects or to comment on actions of state or local officials under the state historic preservation act.

General observations on the application: The goals of the survey are good. Administration of previous grants by the applicant has been lax.

Project Information Sheet

Name of property SPOONER HALL Address K.U. CAMPUS, LAWRENCE

Applicant UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS

Amount of federal funds requested 79,500 Total project cost 159,000

Source of applicant's match WILL REQUEST STATE APPROPRIATION

Work included in application:

1. EXTERIOR STONEWORK: Replacement of sandstone parapet caps, window sills, & column bases.
2. LIGHTNING PROTECTION

Staff comments on proposed work: -

Stone has deteriorated and will continue to cause problems for the building if not taken care of at this time.

Condition of building:

Overall good structural condition. Phase I (roof and windows) will soon be completed. Building is in use as museum for anthropology.

No. of federal objectives the proposed project will meet 3 of 10

General observations on the application:

1. Project has a high visibility because of campus activities.
2. Administrative problems (at K.U.) have occurred with the Phase I grant.

Project Information Sheet

Name of property SALTER HOUSE Address 220 W. GARFIELD, ARGONIA
Applicant ARGONIA AND WEST SUMNER CO. HIST. SOCIETY
Amount of federal funds requested 10350.00 Total project cost 20700.00
Source of applicant's match CASH GUARANTEE BY LOCAL BANK

Work included in application:

- | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. FOUNDATION REPAIR | 5. CARPENTRY |
| 2. ROOFING, FLASHING, GUTTERING | 6. EXT. PAINTING |
| 3. MASONRY | 7. GRADING |
| 4. PORCH REPAIR | |

Staff comments on proposed work:

1. NECESSARY STABILIZATION WORK

Condition of building:

1. DETERIORATION DUE TO WATER-RELATED PROBLEMS
2. IMPROPER GRADE DRAINAGE
3. IMPROPER & INSENSITIVE STUCCO APPLICATION ON NORTH & EAST FACADES.

No. of federal objectives the proposed project will meet 4 of 10

General observations on the application:

APPLICATION IN MUCH BETTER FORM THIS YEAR THAN IN
PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS DUE TO PRESENCE OF ARCHITECT.

Project Information Sheet

Name of property PRICE VILLA Address 801 S. 8th, ATCHISON
Applicant MOUNT ST. SCHOLASTICA CONVENT
Amount of federal funds requested 51932.00 Total project cost 103865.00
Source of applicant's match CASH TO BE RAISED BY APPLICANT

Work included in application:

1. REPAIR/REPLACE ENTIRE ROOF INCLUDING DOWNSPOUTS & GUTTERS
2. EXTERIOR MASONRY REPAIRS

Staff comments on proposed work:

WORK IS NEEDED TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL DETERIORATION

Condition of building:

1. STONE TRIMWORK & FOUNDATIONS ARE DETERIORATING.
2. WATER-DAMAGED INTERIOR

No. of federal objectives the proposed project will meet 3 of 10

General observations on the application:

1. PHASE I WAS UNDERESTIMATED (ESTIMATE NOT PREPARED BY ARCHITECT).
2. PLANS & SPECS FOR THIS WORK HAVE ALREADY BEEN APPROVED BY HGCS (SAME AS PHASE I).

Project Information Sheet

Name of property MITCHELL COUNTY COURTHOUSE Address BELoit

Applicant MITCHELL COUNTY COMMISSION

Amount of federal funds requested 11050.00 Total project cost 22123.00

Source of applicant's match TAX FUNDS TO BE LEVIED

Work included in application:

1. STORM WINDOWS

Staff comments on proposed work:

1. WOULD HELP SAVE ENERGY

Condition of building:

1. MUCH WATER DAMAGE AT FRONT ENTRANCE

No. of federal objectives the proposed project will meet 5 of 10

General observations on the application:

1. NO VISIBLE EVIDENCE THAT SOURCE OF WATER DAMAGE HAS BEEN REPAIRED (DAMAGE HAS OCCURRED OVER MANY YEARS).

Project Information Sheet

Name of property OLD ARKANSAS CITY HIGH SCHOOL Address 301 W. CENTRAL, ARKANSAS CITY

Applicant COWLEY CO. COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Amount of federal funds requested 189613.00 + 16312.00 ^{A/E FEES} total project cost 379234.00 + 32624.00 ^{A/E FEES}

Source of applicant's match CAPITOL OUTLAY FUNDS

Work included in application:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| 1. DEMOLITION | 5. PAINTING | 9. ELECTRICAL |
| 2. REPAIR INT. WINDOWS & DOORS | 6. FLOORING | 10. PLUMBING |
| 3. REPAIR WAINSCOTING, FLOOR, WALLS | 7. ELEVATOR | |
| 4. NEW PARTITIONS, CEILINGS, DOORS | 8. HVAC | |

Staff comments on proposed work:

1. REHABILITATION WORK TO ADAPT BUILDING TO TECHNICAL SERVICES BUILDING

Condition of building:

1. GOOD CONDITION (PHASE I IS ABOUT TO BEGIN)

No. of federal objectives the proposed project will meet 4 of 10

General observations on the application:

HPD IS CONCERNED ABOUT THE APPARENT
LARGE AMOUNT BUDGETED FOR DEMOLITION
(NO PLANS EXIST AT THIS TIME).

Project Information Sheet

Name of property WARD-MEADE HOUSE Address 124 N. FILLMORE, TOPEKA

Applicant AMERICAN HERITAGE INC.

Amount of federal funds requested 9,601 Total project cost 19,202.11

Source of applicant's match 4,000 - CITY OF TOPEKA; 3,500 - CASH ON HAND;

Work included in application:

2,101 - TO BE RAISED

1. RECONSTRUCT 2ND FLOOR BEDROOM PARTITIONS (INCLUDING PLASTER, MILLWORK, HARDWARE, FLOORING, ETC.)

Staff comments on proposed work:

1. GROUP FEELS THIS WORK IS NECESSARY TO INTERPRET THE HOUSE TO VISITORS AS A 19TH CENTURY HOUSE.
2. IT IS POSSIBLE TO DETERMINE THE ORIGINAL LOCATION OF THE PARTITIONS.

Condition of building:

1. BRICK HAS BEEN PAINTED DUE TO SANDBLASTING BY CITY OF TOPEKA APPROXIMATELY 10 YEARS AGO.

No. of federal objectives the proposed project will meet 4 of 10

General observations on the application:

1. BUILDING IS A HOUSE MUSEUM, WITH ADDITIONS, AND IS LOCATED IN A CITY PARK, (THE ONLY HOUSE MUSEUM IN TOPEKA).
2. ALSO AT THE SITE IS A RECONSTRUCTED LOG CABIN

Project Information Sheet

Name of property OLD DODGE CITY LIBRARY Address 701 2nd Ave., DODGE CITY

Applicant DODGE CITY AREA ARTS COUNCIL

Amount of federal funds requested 36369.00 Total project cost 72742.00

Source of applicant's match CASH ON HAND & PLEDGES

Work included in application:

1. WINDOW REPAIR / STORM WINDOWS
2. NEW HANDRAILS
3. INSULATION

5. MAIN FLOOR: Flooring; Woodwork;
Front Entry Restoration; Remove
Built-In Cabinets

4. BASEMENT: Electrical; Flooring; Plaster;
Paint; Handicapped Restrooms

Staff comments on proposed work:

WORK IS NECESSARY TO MAKE THE BUILDING USABLE AS
A FINE ARTS CENTER.

Condition of building:

1. PHASE I WILL TAKE CARE OF WATER PROBLEMS.
2. FOUNDATION BLOCKS ARE DETERIORATING DUE TO
SANDBLASTING BY PREVIOUS OWNER.

No. of federal objectives the proposed project will meet 5 of 10

General observations on the application:

1. PROJECT HAS AROUSED GREAT COMMUNITY INTEREST
AND SUPPORT.

Project Information Sheet

Name of property OLD FIRST BAPTIST CHURCH Address 4th & Washington, NICODEMUS

Applicant NICODEMUS TOWNSHIP

Amount of federal funds requested 7309.00 Total project cost 14619.00

Source of applicant's match WILL ^{SEEK} COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT FUNDS

Work included in application:

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1. REPLACE EXTERIOR TRIM/REFINISH | 5. RECONSTRUCT ENTRANCE DOOR |
| 2. EXTERIOR PAINTING | 6. REPAIR/REPLACE PLASTER WALLS |
| 3. REPAIR ROOF | 7. ROUGH-IN ELECTRICAL |
| 4. REPAIR & REFINISH FLOORING | 8. ROUGH-IN PLUMBING |
| Staff comments on proposed work: | 9. HVAC |
| | 10. WINDOW REPAIR |

1. PURPOSE OF THE WORK IS TO MAKE THE BUILDING SUITABLE FOR USE AS A DINING FACILITY.

Condition of building:

1. ORIGINAL STONE FACADES WERE COVERED WITH STUCCO
2. CONCRETE BUTTRESSES WERE ADDED TO STOP MOVEMENT OF EAST WALL
3. MOISTURE IS DETERIORATING STUCCO COVERING.

No. of federal objectives the proposed project will meet 6 of 10

General observations on the application:

1. DETERMINATION OF THE BUILDING'S NEEDS WAS LEFT TO LOCAL LUMBERYARD REPRESENTATIVE.
2. MODIFICATIONS TO PROPOSED PROJECT WORK WERE MADE AFTER HPD'S VISIT TO THE BUILDING.

Project Information Sheet

Name of property ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST CHURCH Address BELoit

Applicant THE CATHOLIC DIOCESE OF SALINA

Amount of federal funds requested 24935.00 Total project cost 49880.00

Source of applicant's match CASH ON HAND - 10,000 ; REMAINDER TO BE RAISED.

Work included in application:

1. REPAIR OF STAINED GLASS WINDOWS.

Staff comments on proposed work:

1. WORK IS NECESSARY IN ORDER TO PRESERVE WINDOWS.

Condition of building:

1. APPLICANT BELIEVES THAT WEIGHT OF SLATE ROOF HAS CAUSED THE ROOF STRUCTURAL SYSTEM TO MOVE.

No. of federal objectives the proposed project will meet 6 of 10

General observations on the application:

Project Information Sheet

Name of property OLD CAWKER CITY LIBRARY Address 7th & LAKE, CAWKER CITY

Applicant CAWKER CITY HESPERIAN MUSEUM

Amount of federal funds requested 969.00 Total project cost 1939.31

Source of applicant's match CASH ON HAND

Work included in application:

1. STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING ANALYSIS
2. WATER LEAK REPAIR
3. PORCH LEVELING & RECONSTRUCTION
4. REPOINTING

Staff comments on proposed work:

1. OTHER WORK MAY BECOME NECESSARY AFTER THE RESULTS OF THE ENGINEERING ANALYSIS ARE REVIEWED.

Condition of building:

1. MASONRY MOVEMENT AND SETTLING
2. WATER DAMAGE FROM IMPROPER GRADING AND FAULTY GUTTERING.

No. of federal objectives the proposed project will meet 5 of 10

General observations on the application:

1. ENGINEERING STUDY WAS INCLUDED AFTER WE RECOMMENDED THAT THEY SEEK PROFESSIONAL HELP.
2. ENGINEERING STUDY COULD BE FUNDED AS PART OF SURVEY AND PLANNING.

Project Information Sheet

Name of property MAHAFFIE HOUSE Address 1100 KANSAS CITY RD., OLATHE

Applicant CITY OF OLATHE

Amount of federal funds requested 25,000.00 Total project cost 51,000.00

Source of applicant's match COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT FUNDS AVAILABLE UPON DEMAND.

Work included in application:

1. HVAC
2. SECURITY SYSTEM
3. INSULATION, SHUTTERS
4. HANDICAPPED ACCESS

5. RESTORATION OF FIREPLACES
6. REFINISH INTERIOR WOODWORK, FLOORS
7. KITCHEN & PANTRY REHAB
8. GRADING, DRAINAGE TILE
9. WOOD PEG BARN STABILIZATION

Staff comments on proposed work:

1. WORK IS NECESSARY TO MAKE THE BUILDING FUNCTIONAL AS A LIVING MUSEUM.

Condition of building:

1. PHASE I WORK HAS STABILIZED/RESTORED THE HOUSE.
2. WOOD PEG BARN IS TO BE STABILIZED IN THIS PHASE

No. of federal objectives the proposed project will meet 4 of 10

General observations on the application:

1. APPEAR TO BE PROBLEMS IN EXECUTING PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS AS APPROVED BY HCRS FOR PHASE I GRANT.
2. GRADING/DRAINAGE ITEM WAS ADDED FOLLOWING SITE VISIT BY HPD.

SURVEY PROJECT INFORMATION SHEET

Continued Archeological Survey of Stranger Creek Valley and
Initial Reconnaissance of Buck Creek and Mud Creek Valleys,
Atchison, Jefferson, and Leavenworth Counties, Kansas.

Name of Survey _____

Applicant: Museum of Anthropology, University of Kansas

Survey Boundaries: The drainages of Stranger, Buck and Mud creeks, all northern
tributaries of the Kansas river.

Amount of federal funds requested \$28,375.00 Total project cost \$56,750.00

Source of applicant's match In kind-salary of project director and indirect costs
(salaries of project co-directors)

Survey Objectives:

1. Compile survey information for an area that is expected to see continued economic development and consequent site destruction.
2. Perform systematic tests on selected sites located in the Stranger Creek survey in order to provide an evaluation of these sites. Prepare National Register forms for these sites.
3. Develop a predictive model for site location.
4. Initiate a survey of both Buck and Mud creeks.

Staff comments on survey objectives:

The initial survey of Stranger creek located over 30 sites ranging in time from Late Archaic (2500 B.C.-A.D. 1) to Middle Ceramic (A.D. 1000-1500). The survey also located sites from each of these periods suitable for testing. Completion of this testing will allow for a refined analysis of the prehistoric occupation of the creek. No systematic survey has been done on either Buck or Mud creeks.

General observations on the application: The Stranger creek survey produced very good information. This proposed testing is a logical next step in the evaluation of these resources. This information in conjunction with the survey information from Buck and Mud creeks can be combined with the completed analysis of near-by Nine Mile creek to form a solid base for inferences concerning the prehistory of the area. The administration of the Stranger creek grant was by the applicant was good.

SURVEY PROJECT INFORMATION SHEET

Name of Survey Western Kansas Regional Survey

Applicant: Archeology Department of the Kansas State Historical Society

Survey Boundaries: Seven counties, Wallace, Logan, Gove, Greeley, Wichita, Scott and Lane, in western Kansas.

Amount of federal funds requested \$5,927.99 Total project cost \$14,964.87

Source of applicant's match In kind--salary of Archeologist and Supervisory Archeologist.

Survey Objectives:

1. Locate and record archeological resources in the survey area.
2. Increase site inventory.
3. Develop a predictive model of site location for this region.
4. Develop an archeological research design for the region.

Staff comments on survey objectives: Little survey has been done in the area. A Kansas Anthropological Association member has recorded 60 sites in Greeley county, while the site files for adjacent Wichita county contain only 6 sites. Site location information collected by the survey will be extremely valuable.

General observations on the application: The Public Archeologist, Don Rowlison, will conduct the survey using a combination of speaking engagements at county historical societies and other public functions and by contacting local informants. Mr. Rowlison has had extensive survey experience and has been successful in meeting and working with the general public. He has produced consistently high quality reports describing archeological projects he has worked on.

Project Information Sheet

Name of property OLD WATKINS BANK Address LAWRENCE
Applicant DOUGLAS COUNTY HISTORICAL SOCIETY
Amount of federal funds requested 13,207 * Total project cost 26,416 *
Source of applicant's match FUNDS TO BE RAISED

Work included in application:

STORM WINDOWS

Staff comments on proposed work:

CONTINUATION OF PHASE I

Condition of building:

Good

No. of federal objectives the proposed project will meet 4 of 10

General observations on the application:

* TOTAL WINDOW PROJECT COST \$65,000.
APPLICANT ALLOCATED \$19,292 FOR FY 1990.
REQUEST OF \$13,208 WOULD PERMIT COMPLETION
OF THE PROJECT

KANSAS HISTORIC SITES BOARD OF REVIEW

June 27, 1980

The board convened at 9:30 a.m. in the conference room of the Memorial Building. Members present were Eric Engstrom, chairman, Nancy Trauer, A. Bower Sageser, Richard Koerth, the designee of James Bibb, Joseph Snell, Carlyle Smith, and Ralph Kiene. Historic Preservation staff present were Richard Pankratz, Maudenna Woods, Julie Wortman, Martin Stein, Terry Marmet, Brian O'Neill and Dale Nimz.

Professor A. Bower Sageser moved that the minutes of the previous meeting be approved; Joseph Snell seconded the motion, which carried.

Richard Pankratz reviewed for the board the policy on conflict of interest in consideration of funding for specific projects. Mrs. Trauer declared a conflict of interest for the Old Dodge City Public Library. Mr. Snell declared a conflict of interest on the survey application of the archeology department of the State Historic Society.

The next item of business was the evaluation and ranking of historic preservation fund grant applications.

Seven applications were received for survey and planning grants. The HPD staff presented a synopsis of each project and made recommendations for ranking the projects and funding them. The recommendations were that the Cowley County Community College plans and specifications application be transferred to Acquisition and Development Grant funding and that five projects the Cawker City Engineering Analysis, Mo-Kan Regional Council Survey, City of Salina Survey, KU Stranger Creek Survey and the Kansas State Historical Society Western Kansas Survey be partly funded from Survey and Planning money. If additional funds were received each of the above projects would be fully funded. If additional funds beyond the requirements of these projects were received the Wichita State University project would be financed. The Board accepted these recommendations. Mr. Snell was absent from the meeting during all discussion and action on the State Historical Society's application for archeological survey assistance.

Richard Pankratz and Terry Marmet identified the applicants for development grants for FY 1981. Mr. Marmet presented slides on each applicant's project, explaining what had been or needed to be completed on each. Mrs. Trauer was absent from the room during discussion and consideration of the Old Dodge City Library application. Mr. Pankratz explained how the staff had ranked each project according to the federal minimum grantee requirements and other factors. He also informed the board that since they had ranked the projects according to the federal priorities, some of the guidelines and points given to specific projects had changed.

The projects were ranked in the following order:

1. Old Cawker City Library
2. Old Dodge City Library
3. Salter House
4. Old Parsons Library

5. St. John the Baptist Church
6. Mahaffie House
7. Watkins Bank
8. Mitchell County Courthouse
9. Price Villa
10. Old First Baptist Church
11. Spooner Hall
12. Ward-Meade House
13. Old Ark City High School

Mr. Engstrom moved to accept the ranking except for Dodge City Library. The motion was seconded by Professor Sageser. The motion carried unanimously.

Professor Sageser made motion to accept Dodge City ranking. Motion was seconded by Ralph Kiene. The board accepted the motion. Mrs. Trauer was absent for this vote.

The board had recessed for lunch at 11:30 a.m. and reconvened at 1:00 p.m.

Brian O'Neill, the survey archeologist, presented slides describing the results of the statewide petroglyph survey. He stated that the majority of petroglyph were located in Russell and Ellsworth counties; however, they were to be found in southeast and southwest Kansas also. Mr. Pankratz mentioned that a manuscript will be completed during the summer on the petroglyph survey. This will be used as the basis for a publication about Kansas petroglyphs.

Mr. Pankratz asked if the board had any suggestions for changes to "Preservation Update." The consensus of the board was that they appreciated being kept informed on what is happening with projects or news relating to the Historic Preservation Department and that no changes were necessary.

Julie Wortman had taken a postcard poll of newspapers throughout the state to determine the number of papers interested in using the historic preservation news release. The number of newspapers indicating they used the column and would use more sufficient for her to decide to continue to write the monthly column.

Mr. Pankratz reported that the mailing list for the Department's newsletter Kansas Preservation would be expanded this year. Among the groups to be included are university history professors, members of the state-wide amateur archeologist organization, and members of the Kansas Preservation Alliance.

Terry Marmet reviewed the status of all current development projects. He mentioned that several of the projects have been completed but completion reports are still pending.

Julie Wortman gave an update on the courthouse project. She said that the courthouse study is being assisted by Dave Johnson, who is an assistant professor at the University of Kansas. She said that he is now in the process of photographing all historic courthouses in the state. At least 86 properties would fall within

this category, with 56 being eligible for the National Register and approximately 30 eligible for the state register. Ms. Wortman said that as of July 1, 1980, she will make the courthouse publication a number one priority.

Ms. Wortman informed the board that the three nominations submitted in the last six months have been accepted for the National Register. They are Potwin Historic District in Topeka, the Old German-American State Bank in Topeka, and the Stilwell Hotel in Pittsburg.

Dale Nimz was introduced. He is working on 24 backlogged National Register nominations. Ms. Wortman said that these should be completed by the first of September. Mr. Engstrom asked how she determined what properties to work on first. She said that all the properties have been arranged in priority according to the considerations for tax benefits, grants, etc. She said the backlog would be minimized considerably if the sponsors would provide the HPD with adequate documentation and photographs of their properties.

Martin Stein presented a nomination for consideration to the National Register. His presentation included slides and a map of the Blackberry Site, 14LT323, Chetopa vicinity, Labette county. The motion was made by Mrs. Trauer to approve Blackberry Sites for nomination to the National Register and seconded by Professor Smith; it carried unanimously.

Ms. Wortman presented two properties for nomination to the State Register to the board. First considered was the Vermillion Depot, Marshall county. Mrs. Trauer moved not to accept the nomination due to the fact the building had been moved. The motion was seconded by Richard Koerth and the board disapproved the nomination.

The Bethel A. M. E. Church in Leavenworth county was disapproved for State Register listing due to the number of alterations made to the building. Motion was made for disapproval by Professor Sageser and seconded by Professor Smith.

Consideration of the next meeting date was influenced by the fact that the terms of the appointive members would expire September 12, 1980. Ms. Wortman suggested that the board have a meeting by telephone conference call to consider properties for National Register nomination that had been previously approved by the board but not sent to Washington prior to the new notification procedures required by the Department of the Interior.

Mr. Pankratz said during the week of September 8 thru 12th, a conference call would be scheduled. A motion was made by Mr. Engstrom to accept these dates for meeting by telephone and seconded by Professor Smith. All agreed. The meeting adjourned at 3:30 p.m.

Kansas Historic Site Board of Review
June 27, 1980

Correction to minutes previously circulated. (Page 3, Sixth Paragraph)

The Bethel A. M.E. Church in Leavenworth was not approved for State Register listing due to the number of alterations made to the building. Professor Sageser moved to approve the nomination and Professor Smith seconded it. The vote was 3-3 with one abstention; therefore the motion failed.

SURVEY AND PLANNING GRANT APPLICATION INFORMATION SHEET

<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Cawker City Hesperian Museum Engineering Analysis	\$	277.00
Cowley County Community College Plans and Specifications		16,312.00
Kansas State Historical Society Western Kansas Survey		5,928.00
Mo-Kan Regional Council Survey		10,500.00
City of Salina Survey		6,000.00
University of Kansas Stranger Creek Survey		28,375.00
Wichita State University City Archeologist		4,000.00

Staff recommendations:

If funding is at the expected low level five projects should receive a portion:

Cawker City Engineering Analysis, Mo-Kan Regional Council Survey, City of Salina Survey, University of Kansas Stranger Creek Survey and Kansas State Historical Society Western Kansas Survey.

If funding is at a higher level the projects listed above should be funded in full.

If additional funds are received the Wichita State University project should be funded.

The Cowley County Community College Plans and Specifications application should be transferred to Acquisition and Development Grant Funding.

FEDERAL FY 1981 DEVELOPMENT GRANT APPLICATIONS

Applicant	Amt. Req.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total	Rank	Ranking Order
American Heritage, Ward-Meade House	\$9,601	18	7	11	7	4	4	10	3	64	12	1. Old Dodge City Library
Argonia & West Sumner Co., Salter House	10,350	18	18	12	7	6	5	10	10	86	3	2. Old Cawker City Library
Cowley County Community College, Old Ark City High School	189,613	6	11	12	7	4	6	10	3	59	13	3. Salter House
Dodge City Area Arts Coun- cil, Old Dodge City Public Library	36,369	30	12	15	9	6	6	5	7	90	1	4. Old Parsons Library
Douglas Co. Historical Society, Watkins National Bank	13,207	24	11	13	8	4	8	0	7	75	7	5. St. John the Bapt. Church
Mitchell Co. Commission Mitchell Co. Courthouse	11,050	24	9	9	5	8	9	5	4	73	8	6. Mahaffie House
Mount St. Scholastica Con- vent, Price Villa	51,932	12	17	17	9	2	6	0	7	70	9	7. Watkins Bank
Nicodemus Township, Old First Baptist Church	7,184	24	10	9	4	7	4	0	9	67	10	8. Mitchell Co. Courthouse
City of Olathe Mahaffie House	25,000	18	12	14	8	5	6	10	3	76	6	9. Price Villa
City of Parsons Old Carnegie Library	75,940	24	11	10	6	6	7	10	6	80	4	10. Old First Baptist Church
St. John the Bapt. Church Beloit	24,935	24	15	12	6	5	7	5	3	77	5	11. Spooner Hall
University of Kansas Spooner Hall	79,500	18	14	10	7	4	7	0	5	65	11	12. Ward-Meade House
Cawker City Historical Society, Old Cawker City Library	692	24	20	9	4	6	8	10	8	89	2	13. Old Ark City High School

Friday

PROJECT EVALUATION (1980-81)

____ 1. Federal Historic Preservation priorities for FY 81 - 6 points per priority met to a maximum of 40 points

- ____ 1. Model
- ____ 2. Technical problem
- ____ 3. Neighborhood preservation
- ____ 4. Endangered
- ____ 5. Benefit to minorities or disadvantaged
- ____ 6. Clear public benefit
- ____ 7. Greater than 50 per cent match
- ____ 8. Outdoor resources
- ____ 9. Energy efficient
- ____ 10. National Historic Landmark

____ 2. Type of work to be performed. Maximum 20 pts.

A greater number of points will be assigned to projects where the proposed work is necessary for the continued preservation of the property. As a rule, projects seeking to perform stabilization work, or to correct structural problems will be given precedence over projects which ^{are} ~~are~~ interior restorations, or reconstructions. All work must be in conformance with the Secretary of the Interiors "Standards for Historic Preservation Projects" to even be considered.

3. Previous record of the applicant.

Point range: *0 to 20*
~~10 to 110~~

The applicant's abilities, based on staff interview and inspection, to perform the paperwork for the grant, to see that project work is performed properly and in a timely manner, and to otherwise effectively administer the project are evaluated. Past preservation work performed by the applicant and special qualifications the applicant might bring to the project through professional or other relevant work are considered. *to be only by us \ only *

4. Sensitivity of the applicant to historic preservation methods and standards.

Maximum 10 pts.

The applicant's understanding of the federal standards for historic preservation work, commitment to bringing the project into conformance with the standards, and willingness to work with the HPD and HCRS in developing the project documents are evaluated. Attendance at an historic preservation grants workshop sponsored by the HPD is also considered.

5. Public benefit.

Maximum 10 pts.

A project is evaluated as to how it contributes to the geographic distribution of projects around the state, what level of public access the property affords, what community needs, if any, it meets.

6. Integrity.

Maximum 10 pts.

The amount of original building materials and design features still possessed by the property are assessed. Because it

represents an important contribution of authenticity,
a property with exceptional integrity will receive priority
in assistance.

7. Match.

Maximum 10 pts.

The applicant is evaluated for the availability of the match for federal funds to insure faster and simpler implementation of the project. Because each applicant must certify the existence of the match to HCRS, those with a hard match available at the time of application to the HPD are preferred over those that still have to raise the funds.

10 pts. Cash in hand

5 pts. Cash promised available at time of federal grant

0 pts. Fund raising to be held

8. Need.

Maximum 10 pts.

Each project is evaluated whether it could be done or whether it would be substantially stalled or abandoned if the grant was not to be awarded.

AGENDA

Kansas Historic Sites Board of Review

June 27, 1980 9:30 A.M.

1. Approval of the minutes of the previous meeting
2. Report on results of the petroglyph survey
3. Evaluation and ranking of historic preservation fund grant applications
4. Update on preservation activities
 - a. review of status of current grant projects
 - b. discussion and suggestions on "Preservation Update," Kansas Preservation, and monthly historic preservation columns
 - c. courthouse project
 - d. others
5. Consideration of National Register nomination:
 - a. Blackberry Site, 14LT323, Chetopa vicinity, Labette county
6. Consideration of state register nominations:
 - a. Bethel A. M. E. Church, Leavenworth county
 - b. Vermilion Depot, Vermilion, Marshall county
7. Discussion of National Register nomination process/problems
8. Consideration of next meeting date
9. Adjournment

REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: Bethel A.M.E. Church

Motion by Sageser to APPROVE DISAPPROVE TABLE

Seconded by Smith

Vote

Name	YES	NO	ABSTAIN
Bibb (Dick Koertli)		✓	
Engstrom			✓
Kiene		✓	
Sageser			
Smith			
Snell (Dick Pankrat designee)		✓	
Trauer		✓	

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were: End in a tie with one abstention

6-27-80

REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: Blackberry Site

Motion by Nancy Trauer to APPROVE DISAPPROVE TABLE

Seconded by Professor Smith

Vote

Name	YES	NO	ABSTAIN
Bibb (Dick Koerth) voted	✓		
Engstrom	✓		
Kiene	✓		
Sageser	✓		
Smith	✓		
Snell (absent) Joe's Designee Dick Pankratz	✓		
Trauer	✓		

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were: _____

6-27-80

REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: Vermillion Depot
Bethel A.M.E. Church

Motion by Nancy Trauer to APPROVE DISAPPROVE TABLE

Seconded by Dick Koerth

Vote

Name	YES	NO	ABSTAIN
Bibb (Dick Koerth)	✓		
Engstrom	✓		
Kiene	✓		
Sageser	✓		
Smith	✓		
Snell (Dick Tankretz designee)	✓		
Trauer	✓		

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were: Too much of altering
done

6-27-80

Kansas Historic Sites Board of Review
September 12, 1980

The Kansas Historic Sites Board of Review met by means of a telephone hook-up at 1:30 p.m. on Friday, September 12, 1980. The meeting was called to order by chairman Eric Engstrom (Wichita). Other members participating were Ralph Kiene (Kansas City), A. Bower Sageser (Manhattan), Carlyle S. Smith (Lawrence), Nancy Trauer (Dodge City), Dick Koerth (Topeka) as designee for the director of the budget, and Dick Pankratz (Topeka) as the designee for Joe Snell. Historic Preservation Department staff members present in the office at Topeka were Julie Wortman and Dale Nimz.

The minutes of the board meeting of June 27, 1980, were unanimously approved on a roll call vote following the motion of Ralph Kiene which was seconded by A. Bower Sageser.

Of the 15 properties on the agenda for consideration for National Register nomination, 14 had been previously approved by the review board for both National Register and state register listing. The remaining nomination was an amendment for the Farmers and Drovers Bank in Council Grove. Julie Wortman announced that the sponsors of the nomination of the Immaculate Conception Church at Leoville had asked that it be withdrawn. The board agreed to withdraw consideration of the church for National Register nomination; however, its listing on the state register stands.

Professor Sageser moved that the previously approved properties, excluding the Immaculate Conception Church, again be approved for National Register listing. Nancy Trauer seconded the motion which carried without dissent. The properties included in that motion were as follows:

Ottawa Library, Ottawa, Franklin county

Grainfield Opera House, Grainfield, Gove county

Anderson Hall, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Riley county

I.O. Pickering House, Olathe, Johnson county

Woman's Club House, Manhattan, Riley county

Bartell House/Lamer Hotel, Junction City, Geary county

Grand Theater, Topeka, Shawnee county

St. Mary's Church, St. Benedict, Nemaha county

Koester Block, Marysville, Marshall county

Orpheum Theater & Office Building, Wichita, Sedgwick county

Prospect Park Farm, Chapman vicinity, Dickinson county

Belle Springs Creamery & Produce Building, Abilene, Dickinson county

September 12, 1980

Judge Gates House, Kansas City, Wyandotte county

Approval of the amendment to the Farmers and Drovers Bank was moved by Eric Engstrom, seconded by Ralph Kiene, and passed unanimously.

That concluded the business of the meeting. Nancy Trauer moved the adjournment which was seconded by Professor Smith. The meeting thus adjourned at 1:45 p.m.

RP/maw
9-15-80

REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: 13 properties prev. approved

Motion by Sageser to APPROVE DISAPPROVE TABLE

Seconded by Traver

Vote

Name	YES	NO	ABSTAIN
Bibb Koerth	✓		
Engstrom	✓		
Kiene	✓		
Sageser	✓		
Smith	✓		
Shell Pankratz	✓		
Trauer	✓		

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were: _____

REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: Amendment to Farmers and Drivers Bank

Motion by Engstrom to (APPROVE) DISAPPROVE TABLE

Seconded by Kiene

Vote

Name	YES	NO	ABSTAIN
Bibb Joerth	✓		
Engstrom	✓		
Kiene	✓		
Sageser	✓		
Smith	✓		
Spelt Pankratz	✓		
Trauer	✓		

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were: _____

Attendance

REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

*Ralph moved
Banner recorded
approval of minutes*

Property: _____

Motion by _____ to APPROVE DISAPPROVE TABLE

Seconded by _____

Vote
Present

Name		YES	NO	ABSTAIN
Bibb Koerth	✓	✓		
Engstrom	✓	✓		
Kiene	✓	✓		
Sageser	✓	✓		
Smith	✓	✓		
Shell Pankratz	✓	✓		
Trauer	✓	✓		

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were: _____

Kansas Historic Sites Board of Review

December 10, 1980

The Kansas Historic Sites Board of Review convened at 9:00 a.m. in the conference room of the Memorial Building. Members present were Curtis Besinger, J. Eric Engstrom, James L. Forsythe, Richard Koerth, the designee of Edmund Ahrens, Bob Richmond, the designee of Joseph Snell, Carlyle S. Smith and Ellen May Stanley. Historic Preservation staff present were Dick Pankratz, Mauddenna Woods, Julie Wortman, Martin Stein and Terry Marmet.

Richard Pankratz informed the board that Richard Koerth was the designee for Edmunds Ahrens and Bob Richmond was the designee for Joseph Snell. Mr. Richmond stated that he would have to leave the meeting at 10:00 a.m. and Richard Pankratz would serve as the designee for Joseph Snell in his place until he returned.

The first item of business was the election of a chairman and vice-chairman. Prof. Smith nominated Eric Engstrom to remain as chairman, the motion was seconded by Prof. Besinger. Eric Engstrom made the motion to elect Ellen May Stanley as vice-chairman, and the motion was seconded by Bob Richmond. Prof. Smith moved that the motions be closed; this was seconded by Richard Koerth, and the motion was accepted unanimously. The motions to elect Engstrom and Stanley were then unanimously approved.

Eric Engstrom moved that the minutes of the previous meeting be approved; Prof. Carlyle S. Smith seconded the motion, which carried.

Mr. Pankratz briefly explained two laws recently passed by congress. The first law is the Historic Preservation Amendments Act of 1980, which will, among other things, require the owner's consent before listing a private property on the National Register.

The second item is the Tax Treatment Extension Act of 1980. This will extend the tax incentives of the Tax Reform Act of 1976 to December, 1983. Mr. Pankratz stated that the approval of the amendment to the internal improvements clause of the state constitution has given the Preservation Department the authority to pass money to private property owners.

Mr. Pankratz stated that an amendment is being requested to the state preservation law to increase the appointive members of the review board to nine and to require five professional disciplines. Also, the terms would be staggered and provide for three terms of tenure.

Julie Wortman introduced Dave Johnson to present slides on Kansas courthouses. She mentioned that the Department will be issuing a publication on Kansas courthouses, and that grants are being sought for other activities and projects utilizing the results of the courthouse survey. Dave Johnson then showed the slide presentation on Kansas' historic courthouses.

Martin Stein spoke about the petroglyph survey which had been made. He said that an illustrated publication on petroglyphs in Kansas is now in draft form and should be ready for distribution next year.

Terry Marmet showed slides of three grant-in-aid projects; Brown Grand Opera House, Concordia, where all grant project work has been completed except for the installation of stage lighting equipment; old Dodge City Public Library, Dodge City, where a terne roof presented some special problems, and the Parsons Public Library, Parsons, where all structural repairs of the roof have been completed and they are now awaiting clay tiles for the dome. A list of the current status of all active grant projects was distributed.

Julie Wortman spoke about the new system of logging requests for National Register nomination. She said that 18 nominations are awaiting review, 20 nominations are in a state of inadequate documentation, seven nominations have been declared eligible for the National Register by the State Historic Preservation Officer but the draft forms have not yet been prepared, and six nominations have been declared eligible for state register listing but not yet prepared. Julie said that Dale Nimz, who was hired on a temporary basis to work on eliminating the backlog, would be leaving because his appointment expired December 10, 1980.

Eric Engstrom asked whether HPD had heard anything regarding recent nominations that had been sent to the Keeper of the National Register. Ms. Wortman said that all nominations recently submitted to the Keeper of the National Register were apparently all right since no word to the contrary had been received.

The next item of business was the consideration of National Register nominations. Julie Wortman briefly reviewed the proposed properties by reading the statement of significance and showing slides of each property. The nominations approved by the board to meet the National Register criteria were as follows:

Benedictine College North Campus Historic Complex, Atchison,
Departmental School, Junction City, Geary County
Platt House, Manhattan, Riley County
Union Pacific Depot, Topeka, Shawnee County
Woman's Club Building, Topeka, Shawnee County
Jayhawk Hotel and Theater, Topeka, Shawnee County
Oxford Mill, Oxford, Sumner County

The Elks Club Building, Topeka, Shawnee County, was approved for state register listing but not for listing on the National Register.

The Board indicated it was not disapproving the Elks Club Building from ever being considered eligible for the National Register, but that at this time the building only met state register criteria. If the building should at some later date more closely convey its original appearance, the board would reconsider the possibility of recommending it for National Register listing.

Eric Engstrom made a motion to adjourn the meeting; it was seconded by Prof. Smith and carried. The meeting adjourned at 11:50 a.m.

maw

Enclosure (nomination packet
F Y I)

date: _____

REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: _____

Motion by _____ to APPROVE DISAPPROVE TABLE

Seconded by _____

VOTE

NAME	YES	NO	ABSTAIN
L. Muchmore			
J. E. Engstrom			
J. Forsythe			
J. Snell			
C. Smith			
E. M. Stanley			
C. Besinger			

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were: _____

date: 12-10-80

REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: Benedictine College North Campus Historic Complex, Atchison, Atchison County

Motion by Professor C. Smith to APPROVE DISAPPROVE TABLE

Seconded by Prof. Besinger

VOTE

NAME	YES	NO	ABSTAIN
E. Ahrens / <u>Richard Koerth</u> <u>Designee</u>	✓		
J. E. Engstrom	✓		
J. Forsythe	✓		
J. Snell / <u>Bob Richmond</u> <u>Designee</u>	✓		
C. Smith	✓		
E. M. Stanley	✓		
C. Besinger	✓		

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were: _____

date: 12-10-80

REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: Oxford Mill, Oxford, Sumner County

Motion by Prof. Besinger to APPROVE DISAPPROVE TABLE

Seconded by Prof. Smith

VOTE

NAME	YES	NO	ABSTAIN
E. Ahrens / <u>Kaerth Designee</u>	✓		
J. E. Engstrom	✓		
J. Forsythe	✓		
J. Snell / <u>Richmond Designee</u>	✓		
C. Smith	✓		
E. M. Stanley	✓		
C. Besinger	✓		

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were: _____

date: 12-10-80

REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: Elks Club Bldg, Topeka

Motion by Prof. Smith to APPROVE DISAPPROVE TABLE

Seconded by Forsythe

VOTE

NAME	YES	NO	ABSTAIN
E. Ahrens / <u>Koerth</u> <u>Designee</u>	✓		
J. E. Engstrom	✓		
J. Forsythe	✓		
J. Snell / <u>Parkatz</u> <u>Designee</u>	✓		
C. Smith	✓		
E. M. Stanley	✓		
C. Besinger	✓		

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were:

only for State Register
at this time.

date: 12-10-80

REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: Jayhawk Hotel & Theater, Topeka

Motion by E. Engstrom to APPROVE DISAPPROVE TABLE

Seconded by Prof. Forsythe

VOTE

NAME	YES	NO	ABSTAIN
E. Ahrens / <u>Koeth</u> <u>Designee</u>	✓		
J. E. Engstrom	✓		
J. Forsythe	✓		
J. Snell / <u>Richmond</u> / <u>Dick</u> <u>Designee</u> / <u>Pankratz</u>	✓		
C. Smith	✓		
E. M. Stanley	✓		
C. Besinger	✓		

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were:

date: 12-10-80

REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: Woman's Club Bldg. Topeka

Motion by Prof. Besinger to APPROVE DISAPPROVE TABLE

Seconded by E. M. Stanley

VOTE

NAME	YES	NO	ABSTAIN
E. Ahrens / <u>Koersch Designee</u>	✓		
J. E. Engstrom	✓		
J. Forsythe	✓		
J. Snell / <u>Richmond Designee</u> / <u>Pick Pankratz</u>	✓		
C. Smith	✓		
E. M. Stanley	✓		
C. Besinger	✓		

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were:

date: 12-10-80

REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: Union Pacific Depot, Topeka

Motion by Prof. Besinger to APPROVE DISAPPROVE TABLE

Seconded by Eric Engstrom

VOTE

NAME	YES	NO	ABSTAIN
E. Ahrens / <u>Koeth</u> <u>Designee</u>	✓		
J. E. Engstrom	✓		
J. Forsythe	✓		
J. Snell / <u>Richmond</u> <u>Designee</u> / <u>Dick</u> <u>Ponkratze</u>	✓		
C. Smith	✓		
E. M. Stanley	✓		
C. Besinger	✓		

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were:

date: 12-10-80

REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: Platt House, Manhattan, Riley County

Motion by Prof. C. Smith to APPROVE DISAPPROVE TABLE

Seconded by Mrs. Stanley / E. Engstrom

VOTE

NAME	YES	NO	ABSTAIN
E. Ahrens / <u>Koeth Designee</u>	✓		
J. E. Engstrom	✓		
J. Forsythe	✓		
J. Snell / <u>Richmond Designee</u>	✓		
C. Smith	✓		
E. M. Stanley	✓		
C. Besinger	✓		

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were:

date: 12-10-80

REVIEW BOARD TALLY SHEET

Property: Departmental School, Junction City,
Deary county

Motion by Prof. Besinger to APPROVE DISAPPROVE TABLE

Seconded by Prof. Forsythe

VOTE

NAME	YES	NO	ABSTAIN
E. Ahrens / <u>Koerth</u> <u>Designee</u>	✓		
J. E. Engstrom	✓		
J. Forsythe	✓		
J. Snell / <u>Richmond</u> <u>Designee</u>	✓		
C. Smith	✓		
E. M. Stanley	✓		
C. Besinger	✓		

Disposition: APPROVED DISAPPROVED TABLED

If disapproved, reasons were:
